IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 30 of 2006 (M/B)

Ram Kumar S/o late Baldeo Singh,

R/o Dabkikalan, Tehsil Laksar,
District Haridwar. …….. Petitioner

Versus

1. District Supply Officer,
   Haridwar.
2. Whole Sale Central Consumer Cooperative store Ltd.,
   Haridwar through its Secretary.
3. Secretary,
   Whole Sale Central Consumer Cooperative Store Ltd.,
   Haridwar. …….. Respondents

Mr. Amish Tewari, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Nand Prasad, Standing Counsel for the State of Uttaranchal.

Hon'ble B.S. Verma, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No. 3 to decide Annexure 5 renewal application dated 30.12.2005, submitted by the petitioner, by a speaking order. Mr. Nand Prasad, learned Standing Counsel has no objection in giving such a direction to respondent No. 3.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to allow the above request of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider and pass appropriate orders on Annexure 5 renewal application dated 30.12.2005 as expeditiously as possible and at any rate, within a period of one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

(B.S. Verma, J.)
12.01.2006

G